

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,383	05/18/2005	Alexander L. Klibanov	22078-2TARGESON	5031
30565 WOODARD I			EXAMINER	
WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP			DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN	
INDIANAPOL	APOLIS, IN 46204-5137		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/511,383	KLIBANOV ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DiBrino Marianne	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'		•			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	lay 2005.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	"			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d			
	or the continue copies not record				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/511,383

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment filed 5/18/05 is acknowledged and has been entered.

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, Applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a microbubble composition, Claims 31-34, in part as they pertain to a pharmaceutical, therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising the microbubble composition of claim 1, said microbubble composition comprising gas-filled microbubbles having crenated microbubble membranes.
- II. Claims 9-15, drawn to a microbubble composition, Claims 31-34, in part as they pertain to a pharmaceutical, therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising the microbubble composition of claim 9, said microbubble composition comprising gas-filled microbubbles having microbubble membranes having surface projections.
- III. Claims 16-21, drawn to a microbubble composition, 31-34, in part as they pertain to a pharmaceutical, therapeutic or diagnostic composition comprising the microbubble composition of claim 16, said microbubble composition comprising gas-filled microbubbles having non-spherical microbubble membranes.
- IV. Claims 22-23, in part as they pertain to contacting the target with the microbubble composition of claim 1, said claims drawn to a method for binding microbubbles to a target.
- V. Claims 22-23, in part as they pertain to contacting the target with the microbubble composition of claim 9, said claims drawn to a method for binding microbubbles to a target.
- VI. Claims 22-23, in part as they pertain to contacting the target with the microbubble composition of claim 16, said claims drawn to a method for binding microbubbles to a target.
- VII. Claims 24-30, drawn to a method for preparing a targeted microbubble composition comprising forming gas-filled spherical microbubbles, converting said microbubbles to non-spherical microbubbles and attaching to or incorporating targeting molecules.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,383 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

VIII. Claim 35, in part as it pertains to use of an ultrasound contrast agent comprising the microbubble composition of claim 1, said claim drawn to a method for ultrasound imaging in a patient.

- IX. Claim 35, in part as it pertains to use of an ultrasound contrast agent comprising the microbubble composition of claim 9, said claim drawn to a method for ultrasound imaging in a patient.
- X. Claim 35, in part as it pertains to use of an ultrasound contrast agent comprising the microbubble composition of claim 16, said claim drawn to a method for ultrasound imaging in a patient.
- XI. Claim 36, in part as it pertains to use of a therapeutic composition comprising the microbubble composition of claim 1, said claim drawn to a method for therapeutic treatment of a patient.
- XII. Claim 36, in part as it pertains to use of a therapeutic composition comprising the microbubble composition of claim 9, said claim drawn to a method for therapeutic treatment of a patient.
- XIII. Claim 36, in part as it pertains to use of a therapeutic composition comprising the microbubble composition of claim 16, said claim drawn to a method for therapeutic treatment of a patient.
- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I-XIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Claim 16 of the instant application does not provide a technical feature that is distinguished over the prior art, as evidenced by US 20010025145 A1.

US 20010025145 A1 discloses gas-filled microbubbles that are non-spherical [0016] and have targeting moieties attached to the surface ([0029], [0037], [0045]).

With respect to the limitation "exhibiting increased deformability under shear relative to corresponding spherical microbubble membranes," this limitation appears to be an inherent property of the art microbubbles.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have produced microbubbles with increased deformability for transversing smaller spaces *in vivo* or *in vitro*.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,383 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

4. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 5. Applicant is required to elect a patentably distinct species:
 - a. of microbubble having a membrane comprising one of or a combination of a lipid, protein, polymer or other surfactant if ONE of Groups I, II or III is elected.
 - b. of microbubble filled with a specific gas such as fluorine-containing gas if Group I is elected.
 - c. of microbubble of a specific mean diameter, such as of about 1 to about 10 micrometers, if ONE of Groups I, II or III is elected.
 - d. of microbubble comprising a membrane comprising a specific targeting molecule such as an antibody that binds a receptor if ONE of Groups I, II or III is elected.
 - e. of microbubble, wherein when the microbubble has a surface projection, it has a specific surface projection such as membrane folds if Group II is elected.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,383 Page 5

Art Unit: 1644

f. of microbubble to be prepared, said microbubble having a specific targeting molecule such as an antibody that binds a receptor, if Group VII is elected AND specific method steps.

g. ONE of a diagnostic OR pharmaceutical OR therapeutic composition comprising the microbubble if ONE of Groups I, II, III or VIII-XIII is elected, for example, a diagnostic composition that is an ultrasound contrast agent.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

6. If Applicant elects ONE of Groups IV-VI, or VIII-XIII, Applicant is required to elect a patentably distinct species:

of microbubble to be used in the claimed method of contacting a target with said microbubble composition (Groups IV-VI) or in the method for ultrasound imaging (Groups VIII-X) or in the method for therapeutic treatment of a patient (Groups XI-XIII).

The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement <u>may</u> be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,383

Art Unit: 1644

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Page 7

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

Page 8

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is 571-272-0842. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Y. Chan, can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.

Muanne F

Patent Examiner Group 1640

Technology Center 1600 September 14, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600